## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Michigan

United States of America	)	
v.	)	
Semaj Ayers	)	Case No. 22-20519
Defendant	— <u> </u>	

Defendant )					
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL					
Part I - Eligibility for Detention					
Upon the					
✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or  Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),					
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.					
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)					
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:					
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):					
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or					
(b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or					
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or					
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or					
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:  (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921)  (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and					
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving ris to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>					
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>					
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the					

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.  OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    Solution   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.    Solution   By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse   Lack of stable employment
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse   Lack of stable employment   Lack of stable residence
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse   Lack of stable employment

Significant family or other ties outside the United States	
Lack of legal status in the United States	
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incar	ceration
✓ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered	
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement	
Use of alias(es) or false documents	
Background information unknown or unverified	
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release	

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

The Court's findings and reasons for ordering detention, including its consideration of the factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), were stated on the record at the November 10, 2022 hearing and are fully incorporated by this reference. At that time, the Court found (a) by a preponderance of the evidence that there is no condition or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure Defendant's appearance; and (b) by clear and convincing evidence that there is no condition or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure the safety of the community. This evidence was discussed on the record in support of the Court's reasoning, and includes, but is not limited to evidence that Defendant: (1) is heavily involved in gang activity as reflected in photos and videos proffered by the government in which Defendant is seen brandishing firearms; (2) is facing serious charges involving a conspiracy to kidnap a young woman who was believed - incorrectly - to have been involved in a car-jacking and shooting of a fellow gang member which involved physically assaulting and terrorizing her over the course of two days; (3) further gang violence resulted following the kidnapping incident which included shootings and the death of a gang member and the wounding of others, showing a clear danger of rivalry and retaliation; (4) is also facing federal charges in ttwo separate cases on a complaint for fraud involving unemployment benefits and a complaint of felon in possession of a firearm; (5) is currently on probation for a felony weapons offense; (6) has a history of failing to appear. Pretrial services also recommended detention. Detention is warranted.

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	November 10, 2022	Fix JAUK	
		Judge's Signature	
		Kimberly G. Altman, U.S. Magistrate Judge	

Name and Title